

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,674	10/23/2001	M. Michael Wolfe	50128/002003	8781
21559	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET			ROMEO, DAVIDS	
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/00	3,674	WOLFE ET AL.
		Exam	iner	Art Unit
		David	S Romeo	1647
Th M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on	the cover sheet	with th correspondence address
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOI - if the period for rright of the period for rright or reply with any reply received.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FO B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC is may be available under the provisions of NTHS from the mailing date of this communicately specified above is less than thirty (30) eply is specified above, the maximum statutishin the set or extended period for reply will do by the Office later than three months after madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nication. days, a reply within the atory period will apply ar ill, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may estatutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) Mo experies application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>23 October</u>	<u> 2001</u> .	
2a)☐ This ad	ction is FINAL . 21	b)☐ This action	n is non-final.	
	in accordance with the practic			atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s)) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
4a) Of th	e above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from	consideration.	
5) Claim(s)) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s)) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
	1-35 are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.	
Application Pape				
· <u> </u>	cification is objected to by the I		_	
	ring(s) filed on is/are: a			
	nt may not request that any object			
	osed drawing correction filed			disapproved by the Examiner.
	ved, corrected drawings are requ	• •		
	or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	edgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
· <u> </u>	Some * c) None of:			
	ertified copies of the priority do			
	ertified copies of the priority do			
	opies of the certified copies of application from the Internat ttached detailed Office action	ional Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a))	
_				. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) 🗌 The	translation of the foreign lang dgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional	application has	been received.
Attachment(s)		•		
	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTC losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 8-42 been renumbered 2-35.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-34, drawn to a GIP antagonist, classified in class 530, subclass
 325.
- II. Claim 35, drawn to a GIP agonist, classified in class 530, subclass 328.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- I and II are independent and distinct, wherein neither member of a pair is required for the production or use of the other, and wherein each of the pair can be manufactured independently of the other and used for independent and distinct purposes. In addition the arts of antagonist and agonist are separate and distinct and each requires separate searches in non-overlapping areas of subject matter.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 3, SEQ ID NO: 5, SEQ ID NO: 6, SEQ ID NO: 8, SEQ ID NO: 9, SEQ ID NO: 10, SEQ ID NO: 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, group I is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: each of the excipients in claim 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 8 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: each of the diluents in claim 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 10 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Romeo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4050. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (703) 308-4623.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TO 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

BEFORE FINAL (703) 872-9306 AFTER FINAL (703) 872-9307

IN ADDITION TO THE OFFICIAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS ABOVE, THE TC 1600 FAX CENTER HAS THE FOLLOWING OFFICIAL FAX NUMBERS: (703) 305-3592, (703) 308-4242 AND (703) 305-3014.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (703) 308-0294.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

25

5

10

15

20

30

DAVID ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1647

DSR NOVEMBER 3, 2003